## Key Messages

- Presentations (Section 1) should be firmly rooted within the contemporary society or cultural heritage of a country where German is spoken. Candidates who make no reference to such a country will have their marks for Content halved, or, if there is very little reference, reduced. Native speakers of German should not assume a listener realises that they are speaking about their homeland and should also give specific details and references. It is not acceptable to make a presentation about the country of domicile, unless this occurs as part of a comparison, where information about the German-speaking country should still predominate. General presentations on such topics as "Global Warming" are often unsuitable, as it is hard to fit them into the abovementioned form.
- Both in the Topic Conversation (Section 2) and in the General Conversation (Section 3) candidates must engage in a dialogue with the Examiner and ask him or her some questions. It is not acceptable to include these questions in the Presentation, except perhaps one at the very end to introduce the Topic Conversation, nor is it acceptable to ask a large number of questions at the very end of section 3, having previously asked none at all. If no questions are asked within either of the sections, no marks may be awarded for Seeking Information in that section. To obtain a mark of 4 out of 5 in a section at least two questions must be asked. The maximum mark is three if there is only one question.
- Please adhere to the timings specified for this examination. In particular, the Presentation should not be allowed to be too long. An Examiner should interrupt with a question after about four minutes, and three and a half minutes would be a more appropriate length. Section 2 and section 3 conversations should be of approximately equal length, say around eight minutes. It is difficult to achieve a high mark for Providing Information or Responsiveness if one section is cut too short. There is no point in allowing the examination to last more than 20 minutes in total as no extra marks can be gained and a candidate might tire after this length of time.
- A quiet and perhaps relatively small room should be chosen to conduct the examination in. If machinery is operating, or if there is loud traffic or people talking in the background, or even an echo in a large space, candidates will not have optimum conditions. For preference record onto cd rather than tape, as sound quality is notably better, and please place the microphone or recording equipment where both Examiner and candidate are audible to a listener/Moderator.


## General Comments

Most Centres had a relatively small entry, often just two or three candidates, but nearly all were correctly entered at this level. There was a big improvement in recording quality this year as the majority of Centres are now recording onto cd, and the labelling and general admin surrounding the recordings was also excellent. Nearly every Centre included the correct paperwork, namely MS1, working mark-sheet and attendance register, which was a great help with moderation. The standard of marking was generally very good, though sometimes a little optimistic with regard to the mark-scheme. Specific examples of marking criteria will be mentioned in the final section of this report. Some Centres with native speakers of German were a little harsh on them with regard to their feel for the language, their accuracy and their ability to provide information. It should be remembered that the mark-scheme refers predominantly to non-native speakers, and that the majority of native speakers will, therefore, score nearly maximum marks on these criteria. However, this will not necessarily be the case at all with regard to Content in their presentations, nor to Seeking Information and Opinions, or even Responsiveness, if they are not challenged to defend a point of view. Particular vigilance should, therefore, be exercised when marking on these criteria.
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## Specific Comments on the sections of the examination

Some of the comments above will also be relevant to this part of the report but will not be repeated here.

## Section 1 (Presentation)

- The manner of delivery of the presentation should be taken into account. Only award nine or ten marks for Content if the delivery is lively and confident rather than stilted and hesitant, in addition to including the ideas and opinions stated in the mark-scheme.
- For a mark of five for Pronunciation a candidate does not have to be a native speaker. "Hesitation", though mentioned in the mark-scheme, should not really figure highly when considering Pronunciation, but perhaps more so when considering delivery of the presentation or the Language mark.
- A well-prepared presentation by a correctly entered candidate should be able to access at least 4 marks for Language, as the criteria mention a "reasonable range" of structures and (topic-specific) vocabulary, delivered "fairly fluently", and provided there is no ambiguity of meaning.
- There was a good range of interesting Presentation topics, of which the following are just a selection: Atomkraftdiskussion (nach Fukushima), Sterbehilfe, Obdachlosigkeit, Wintersport, Einstein, Klonen, Wehrpflicht, Recht und Ordnung, Berliner Mauer, Vorsprung durch Technik, Angela Merkel.


## Section 2 (Topic Conversation)

- The important issues about the marking criterion "Seeking Information", the marking of nativespeakers and non-native speakers, and timing of this section are mentioned above.
- If a candidate has memorized his material entirely or predominantly, a mark from the "Satisfactory" box should be awarded as a maximum, as it cannot really be claimed that he or she is responding to "unexpected questions".
- Similarly a mark from this box should be awarded if the candidate can deal with basic situations and concepts, but not more complicated ones.


## Section 3 (General Conversation)

- There should be a clear distinction made by the Examiner to introduce this section, an announcement for the benefit of the recording, the candidate, and the Moderator, and a complete change of topic.
- Personal details such as the candidate's future and his or her interests should feature briefly but should not necessarily form the main element of this discussion. It is better to move fairly swiftly on to more complex or wider issues to enable the candidate to access the higher marks for "Comprehension \& Responsiveness" or "Providing Information and Opinions".
- Open questions by the Examiner are more effective in drawing the required kind of response from a candidate than closed ones. Remember that little questions, such as Warum? or Inwiefern? can be particularly useful in this regard. It should not be expected that the candidate will know any specific information on an unexpected topic chosen by the Examiner, perhaps a topic of current affairs, or even necessarily have an opinion about it. If this is the case, it would be better to switch quickly to a different topic.


## GERMAN

Paper 9717/02
Reading and Writing

## Key Messages

- The rubric instructions for the vocabulary exercise Question 1 indicate between which lines of the text synonymous answers can be found. There is no need to look beyond the given area.
- For Questions 3, 4 and 5, attention must again be drawn to the requirement that candidates use their own words in their responses. Extended lifting of textual material cannot receive credit. The guidance ohne längere Satzteile direkt vom Text abzuschreiben is highlighted in the instructions to Questions 3 and 4. Comprehension is not necessarily shown simply by the mechanics of German word order requirements, which would not be applicable to various other languages. Where imagery, idioms and key vocabulary are used to suggest or convey ideas in the texts, candidates should expect to show their understanding of these in their own words.
- An answer which begins, for example, Der Text/sagt/behauptet etc., dass $\qquad$ does not show convincing understanding of the question. Such phrasing is also logically and grammatically inaccurate in German, and must impact on the overall Quality of Language mark.
- The paragraph indicators given at the end of each sub-question, show where the answer material is to be found, making it necessary that candidates indicate their understanding of the content, and also of the force of the question asked. It is not acceptable that candidates simply include all the content of the relevant paragraph in their answer. This shows an inability to select and to relate to the demands of the question, and the Examiner, when faced with a choice of possible answers, must assume that the candidate is unable to decide and therefore does not know.
- Candidates should be made aware of the relation between the number of points expected and the number of marks shown in brackets in questions 4 and 5.
- Some answers to Question 5 (a) were too long, and candidates did not heed the word-limit stated. This is an exercise in summary skills. The response to parts (a) and (b) of this question should together not exceed 140 words. (See further guidance below). Time management for this paper should therefore take account of the need to plan an answer to this final question.


## General comments

Both texts this year on the theme of animals in circuses were clearly accessible to a considerable majority of the entry. Whilst the overall range of marks was again very wide, a significant number of papers showed excellent comprehension and were of a high standard linguistically.

Weaker candidates tended to rely on the identification of certain words in the questions in order to produce answers from the paragraphs indicated - e.g.: die Tierschutzorganisation Peta (Question 3b); Kritik (Question 4a); die strengeren Regelungen (Question 4b) This usually revealed a lack of understanding of the focus of the question concerned, and/or an incomplete understanding of the textual passage. Questions which did not specifically target factual material, but rather interpretation, were found more difficult.
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## Comments on specific questions

## Section 1 (Erster Teil)

## Question 1

(a) This was found difficult, with örtlichen being the more frequently offered answer.
(b) This was mostly answered correctly. Occasionally Botschaften or Logos were offered.
(c) This was mostly answered correctly, with very occasional difficulty.
(d) This was mostly answered correctly. Very occasionally Zirkus was offered.
(e) This was mostly answered correctly. The answer grausam is not a direct synonym.

## Question 2

This exercise in grammatical manipulation was found more challenging by weaker candidates.
(a) This was often answered correctly. Some candidates inappropriately retained als in their answers, sometimes with bezeichnet.
(b) This was usually answered correctly.
(c) Some candidates were not familiar with the adjectival noun requirements. A number of responses did not capitalise the noun, however a small letter was tolerated here as a minor spelling error.
(d) This was often answered correctly. There was some difficulty with the formation of the passive. A correct future formation was also accepted.
(e) This was often answered correctly. Occasionally the passive infinitive requirement with werden was not recognised.

## Question 3

Many candidates were able to manipulate the language of the text effectively, and produce 'own language' answers, but there was some reluctance to move away from key language items and text sequencing. As stated above, the simple reproduction of a section of the text by way of an answer does not confirm comprehension and cannot receive credit.
(a) There was sufficient scope here for most candidates to gain the two marks available. However, it was noted that a number of answers did not include the mention of 'no animals'.
(b) The two different aspects of Peta's reaction to the activists were not always differentiated and correctly explained. Further details about Peta that were irrelevant to the question were sometimes given.
(c) Candidates were usually able to provide one of the two answers for warum here, for one mark, but did not always separately identify the desire to ban circuses with wild animals. Occasionally it was suggested that the ban was already in place.
(d) Some answers did not recognise the different factors (i.e.: Berlin; circuses mit Wildtieren), which were required for the first mark. There was also some over-reliance on and misuse of sperren. The basic reason given by the Umweltsenatorin was sometimes misinterpreted as relating to 'animal rights'. This could not be credited.
(e) This question was generally found very accessible, and three marks were quite easily gained. However, some candidates forfeited marks by copying out the text.
(f) There was some difficulty in separating the elements and rephrasing in own language; however most candidates were able to gain at least two of the 4 marks available here.

## Section 2 (Zweiter Teil)

## Question 4

This exercise, as should be expected, was a little more demanding, and candidates coped variously with it. Again there were problems with extensive text reproduction, which tended to be more marked in this second set of comprehension questions in line with previous years. Some candidates may find it difficult to manage their time appropriately by this stage of the Paper.
(a) Some candidates found the relation of the question to the paragraph difficult, and it is possible that üben was taken more generally, unrelated to Kritik. The notion of extremism was often recognised for one mark, but this was not always applied to the Tierschützer. Candidates found it more difficult to relate the remaining text information to the extremism of the Tierschützer in order to secure the second mark.
(b) More able candidates were able to relay the points here in their own words correctly. Some answers did not show a grasp of the significance of an externer Tierarzt and the wording of the text was quite heavily relied upon. It was not always made clear for the third mark that Krone was, in outcome, above reproach.
(c) Some candidates found it difficult to extract the relevant points here from within a lot of information, and there were attempts to work on the details regarding Krone's staffing and costs. However, most candidates were able to pick out three of the elements by which Krone demonstrated its attention to the animals' welfare, for three of the four marks available. The fourth element, the declaration of commitment at Krone in terms of time and effort was less frequently identified.
(d) Weaker candidates experienced difficulty here in summarising in their own words.
(e) This question required candidates to justify from the text the continuing general appeal of wild animals in circuses, and not to give the specifics of the particular show described. Two of the four marks available were frequently gained, however. Fewer candidates made reference to the typical smells of the circus, which give it the traditional atmosphere.

## Question 5

In their responses to this task candidates are required to summarise the issues and arguments presented in the two texts in their own words in the form of a mini-essay. A list of points is not an appropriate essay format. It is clearly important to read the question carefully. Both texts should be referred to, but candidates are required to use their own words; they should expect to present a meaningful overview of the main features, and how they relate to each other, ideally by means of contrast and comparison. This year a summary as presented in the texts of the current issues regarding animals in circuses was asked for.

It should be made very clear to candidates in preparation for this Paper that the word limit of 140 encompasses both parts of the question, and that therefore the conciseness and effectiveness of their writing is likely to have a bearing on achievement. Some candidates wasted words initially by re-stating the outline of the task, without moving forward. Candidates who wrote at considerable length, without apparent regard for any word limit, invariably forfeited marks for the 'personal response' part of their answer, because they left this too late. The marking of this exercise must cease at the end of the sentence after 140 words, with an absolute limit set at 150 words, and teachers should ensure that candidates are aware of this.

As a general point, candidates would undoubtedly benefit from advance practice in the skills of summary, which require more than just repeating elements in the texts. It is recommended that candidates draft a plan before writing up their answer, which will help them to organise their delivery. It is good practice and helpful also to provide a word-count, as many candidates have found; however this should be an accurate one.

There were plenty of points to be made again this year, and many candidates were able to earn some six of the ten marks available for this summary part of the question. With regard to text two, there was some tendency to generalise inappropriately details that were specifically stated for Zirkus Krone - e.g.: Tierarzt /Tierärzte, Altersheim, etc.

Most candidates evidently prefer to address Question 5 in two distinct parts and indicate (a) and (b) accordingly in their response. If preferred, candidates are at liberty to write a 'combination' essay, but in this case must be sure to make their personal views clear in relation to, but distinct from, points made from the
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texts. Marks of two and three out of the potential five for part (b), 'personal response', were quite common, and most candidates were able to demonstrate a clear stance on the topic.

## Language:

The remaining five marks in Question 5 are for the Quality of the Language, and for most candidates marks here were broadly comparable with those awarded for Question sets 3 and 4, as might reasonably be expected. Where responses fall significantly short of 140 words, the language mark must be restricted.

It must be said, however, that a good number of candidates were able to write both fluently and impressively, and their responses frequently made excellent reading.

## GERMAN

Paper 9717/03
Essay

## Key Messages

On the essay paper candidates achieve marks for content and language. In order to score highly, candidates are required to produce detailed, relevant and well illustrated as well as coherently argued and structured essay content. Their language should be generally accurate with a good grasp of grammar and a varied vocabulary to score on the higher bands.

## General Comments:

The essays were much more evenly distributed between the topics this series than in previous years. As always there was the complete range of responses: from thoughtful, well-structured essays to those where the candidate struggled for ideas and the means to express them.

Many candidates have an excellent command of German and achieve marks for Language in the Very Good category. They have an impressive array of vocabulary at their disposal, both general and topic-specific and are ambitious in their use of structure. There are some candidates who have a wide ranging vocabulary but still have difficulties with rudimentary grammar and make basic errors in areas such as word order and subject verb agreements. If possible, it is a good idea to leave some time at the end of the examination to check for careless language errors. Help offered in the titles, for example key words and their gender, is often overlooked.

It was pleasing that most candidates wrote within the prescribed number of words (between 250 and 400 ), as a longer essay does not necessarily increase the number of marks either for Content or Language. Nearly all candidates are aware of the need to provide an introduction and a conclusion and use paragraphs well. It is important that candidates study the essay question carefully so that they are clear about what they are being asked to write. Those who had read one or two vocabulary items in the title and then made false assumptions about what was required were limited to low band marks. The same was true for those who wrote an essay on the topic area which failed to address the specific title. It would seem good practice for the candidate to copy out the question before starting on the essay and constantly refer back to this title to ensure that the points they are making are relevant. Some thinking time before starting to write is very beneficial, as an essay with considered arguments makes a better impression than one with a number of very superficial observations. Those candidates who consider both sides of the question tend to produce better essays because they have more ideas to work with and are less likely to be repetitive.

## Question 1

„Für viele Kinder wäre das Leben besser, wenn man sie sofort nach der Geburt von ihrer Mutter wegnehmen und sie zur Adoption freigeben würde." Wie stehen Sie zu dieser Aussage?

This question was chosen by a good number of candidates. There were a few thoughtful responses about the importance or otherwise of being brought up by one's biological parents but sofort nach der Geburt was sometimes overlooked which led to discussions about children asking to be adopted, which were not really relevant. Aspects such as cultural or racial differences between children and their adoptive parents were rarely addressed.

## Question 2

Warum stellen kriminellgewordene Kinder Ihrer Meinung nach ein besonderes Problem für die Gesellschaft dar?

This essay title was chosen by a minority of candidates, many of whom failed to point out that children cannot be held responsible or be punished for their criminality. Some went on to make thoughtful points about the number of years such children would cause problems in society, others transformed the Kinder into Jugendliche which meant that some of their ideas were not pertinent to the question.

## Question 3

Der Profisport - fördert er die Fitness von allen oder nur das Geldverdienen von einzelnen Sportlern? Was meinen Sie?

Sport was a popular topic and well within the experience of most candidates. Football was obviously often quoted in support of Geldverdienen but some overlooked von allen in the fitness element of the question and talked about the need for footballers to be fit. Many dwelt too long on the health advantages of sport. Others seemed be unclear about what exactly Profisport is but made some relevant points anyway.

## Question 4

„Heutzutage ist irgendeine Arbeitsstelle besser als keine." Wie stehen Sie zu dieser Aussage?
Many candidates chose this essay topic but it was a minority who grasped the meaning of irgendeine and who also addressed heutzutage. Most candidates seem convinced of the benefits of work but by no means all. There were a number of very simplistic essays which went little further than: a job means you have a lot of money, no job means you have no money.

## Question 5

Halten Sie Webseiten zur Bildung sozialer Netzwerke sowie Facebook, My Space, usw. für einen Fluch oder einen Segen?

Not surprisingly this was a popular choice of question as social networking is very much a part of many candidates' lives. There were some vehement essays denouncing Facebook but most found the advantages outweighed the disadvantages. A number of candidates chose Fluch or Segen in their introduction and addressed only one side of the argument. Some had sufficient ideas to write quite a successful, if one sided, essay, others ended up repeating themselves. Many candidates borrowed sozialer Netzwerke seemingly unaware of the case in the title and did not pick up the gender of Fluch and Segen.

## GERMAN LITERATURE AND GERMAN

Paper 9717/04
Texts

## Key Messages

In this section of the examination candidates are expected both to demonstrate knowledge of the texts and an understanding of how the texts work. Candidates who did well were able to show good knowledge of the text, choosing good examples to illustrate points made and structuring their argument well.

## General Comments

The majority of the candidates had good knowledge of the texts and many were able to marshal their thoughts into coherent, relevant essays.

A number of the difficulties encountered by candidates were similar to those highlighted every year: relevance to the question and an ability to organise their essays coherently in the appropriate language were crucial.

Layout and Labelling: The majority of candidates labelled their work conclusively, but it would have helped greatly if both, overall question and sub-question, were clearly recognisable for all three chosen essays, so that no errors occur.

Clear labelling of individual essays with clear paragraphing throughout the essays themselves seemed to be linked to a more organised and structured approach.

Following Instructions: A very small minority of candidates only wrote two essays rather than the three pieces demanded for this paper. One can only assume that in their case the title page of the paper has been read incorrectly.

When candidates chose section 1 essays and opt for (a), both sub-questions have to be answered, not just (i) or (ii).

All three essays should have a length of about 500 words each to allow candidates to make a variety of points pertaining to the question of their choice. Some answers were significantly shorter and therefore candidates penalised themselves by not mentioning enough detail to access the higher marks. Longer answers (more than 600 words) tend to repeat points and are penalised according to the mark scheme, so it is essential that candidates keep an eye on their word count.

Focus on the terms of the question: The essay titles are very carefully worded and candidates' first task when tackling an essay must be to decide what is expected of them. A generic, pre-learnt essay or an accumulation of knowledge listed in the answer does not constitute a good essay, however accurate the knowledge may be. It would be helpful to candidates to copy down the question and clearly label their own work to focus on their topic of choice and they can then refer back to the question in order to ask themselves whether each point they are making is relevant for the correct answer.

Structuring the essay: An essay should be seen as an argument. The writer is seeking to persuade the reader of the validity of the argument he/she is putting forward. An argument must be properly structured, introducing the theme, presenting evidence and leading to a conclusion. Some candidates omitted the introduction or started their essay with what would effectively be their conclusion. Other candidates did not come to any conclusion, partially because they seemed to have run out of time.

Clear paragraphing also helps to structure a coherent argument. Candidates should use one paragraph for each main point they wish to make. Some candidates wrote whole essays without any paragraphing at all which made it more difficult to discern between individual ideas. Often this also led to unnecessary repetitions and as no new ideas were introduced, no extra credit could be earned. Good candidates made
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relevant points in paragraphs, supported those with relevant examples and evaluated or analysed what they had read well.

Language: The majority of candidates were able to produce the level of language required to write good essays that could be followed easily. The expressions and idioms used to convey ideas were often sophisticated and well thought through, but it was noticeable that even candidates with a very secure grasp of vocabulary and grammar made an array of spelling mistakes not expected at this level. Around a sixth of essays submitted proved to be more difficult to understand as candidates translated literally from their mother tongue into German and expressions were not idiomatic enough to convey ideas.

## Good Practice for Candidates:

- Chose one question from each section first, then decide on the third question
- Make sure you read the question carefully and know the focus of it
- Divide your time into three equal chunks and start working on the first essay
- Label each essay with the section and question number, do not forget sub-questions
- Think about paragraphs: one main idea and some supporting evidence per paragraph would be good
- Evidence does not have to be a precise quote, but should show that you have read the text in detail, not just a summary of the plot (or watched the film, if available).
- Make sure you have an introduction, main part and conclusion in your essay.
- Throughout each essay make sure that your language is formal: herunter, not runter, nichts not nix, etwas können instead of was drauf haben etc. Are examples for this.
- At the end, proofread each essay and make sure spelling mistakes are eliminated as far as possible. Spell names of characters correctly and make sure they belong to the text you are referring to!


## Examples of particular weaknesses:

- $\quad B$ and ss, the former still required after long vowels and diphtong, the latter after short vowels
- das and dass got confused a lot
- meanings of words sometimes not clear: Stiefmutter und Schwiegermutter were used as synonyms, Laster and Last got confused or expressions were sometimes left incomplete: dadurch wird ein Opfer (wird er ein Opfer, wird ein Opfer geschaffen, wird ein Opfer gefunden?)
- Using wrong past tense forms of verbs: liegte instead of lag, laufte instead of lief etc.
- Wrong possessive pronouns: wie sein Bruder (her brother) should be wie ihr Bruder
- Register/style: the language is sometimes too informal. There is a definite issue to be addressed here, relating to candidates not being able to differentiate between spoken/colloquial and written/formal language. (rum instead of herum; drauf haben instead of etwas können, Vatter instead of Vater)
- Anglicisms: often candidates who had weaknesses in their vocabulary used English phrases and translated them into German on a one to one basis: In meiner Meinung instead of Meiner Meinung nach, Werden wir gezeigt instead of wird uns gezeigt
- Words misspelt because the stem is not known: gerecht instead of gerächt when referring to revenge (die Rache), Velehr instead of Fehler (fehlen)


## Comments on specific questions

## Section 1

## Question 1

## Zweig - Schachnovelle

Fifty nine essay were produced on this text and the majority concentrated on the first question.
(a) (i) Good answers contained the whole of Czentovic's life, not just his upbringing. His lack of cultural knowledge, his inability to play chess without the board, his reticence/rudeness and his earning powers all were points frequently mentioned. Really excellent candidates could link this to the second part of the question by highlighting how different the two characters were:

UNIVERSITY of CAMBRIDGE
International Examinations
(ii) Czentovic plays for money and fame, he does only gain satisfaction when he is winning and he cannot earn his living with anything else. Dr. B. earned his living as a solicitor, is cultured and clearly sees beyond his own person, otherwise he would have given in to the torture and given up other people in the process. Chess for him is a means to an end at the beginning - it helps him stay mentally alert and occupied. This changes with increasing obsession, when his personality is split into two. Chess becomes a burden and is only played on board the ship to test out whether he can cope with a real game or not. Dr. B. is neither interested in fame nor fortune, but only in testing his abilities and his psyche.
(b) The weaker candidates who chose the second question did not do as well as the weaker candidates who chose the first question. The more unstructured nature of this task did not provide enough for those candidates to focus on, and essays did often lack sensible paragraphing, contained a lot of personal opinion and often contradictions (language of the novel was seen as easy to understand and then described as complex and varied) and therefore failed to reach top marks. Some candidates listed points of interest in the novel, which would appeal to readers with different interests: chess players would like the chess element, historians would enjoy the historical aspects seen through Dr. B.'s eyes, some readers would like the way the tension builds. The lack of a happy ending of the novel was a minus point in most evaluations, but very few candidates mentioned what that could teach the reader.

## Question 2

## König - Ich fühl mich so fifty-fifty

43 candidates wrote about these questions, many of whom chose to write about the extract from the book. The answers were well considered in the majority of cases.
(a) (i) The first part question asked the candidate to look at reactions to Tanja's visit. Suddenly the group around Sabine defends values they normally do not think worth mentioning or do not support. They talk positively about Eastern Germany to Tanja, but amongst themselves do not do so before Tanja arrives. Sabine envies Tanja's ability to travel and no-one in the group can understand her enthusiasm for the quaint old-fashioned Altmark. Stronger candidates were clear about the change in attitude of the group and could see the envy in Sabine.
(ii) The second part should have dealt with people who influenced Sabine's decision to flee from Eastern Germany. Mario's flight and the mum's had to be discussed. Thomas' granddad's narrations also played an important role in Sabine's life, but factors such as Sabine's envy of Tanja should not be the only point mentioned in the essay.
(b) In the second question candidates were asked why the dad stayed in East Germany on his own. Well thought out answers contained his disappointment with his family, his inability to disregard other people's opinions and at his age the difficulties of finding another job after a major setback in his life as an expert in mineralogy coupled with the hope that East Germany might be turned into something better with the help of the people living there, not by abandoning ship.

## Question 3

## Wimschneider - Herbstmilch

26 candidates wrote about this book
(a) (i) The essays written on this question showed that exact text knowledge provided good answers: The Firmpatin warns Anna about the workload on Albert's farm with four old people living there. She can see that Anna will have her hands full. Very good candidates linked the looming war into the equasion and foresaw that Anna would be left on her own with all the work. It is not clear whether the Firmpatin can see that far ahead, but it is not impossible either. Anna's age seems not be a concern for the Firmpatin, although this was mentioned by quite a few candidates. Misreadings were that Anna had to look after her siblings and the old people and that she saved for her bedding and towels whilst already married to Albert.
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(ii) Anna's situation gets worse after the wedding because of her work load, but also because Albert has to go to war. She is left unsupported and faces hostilities from the old people, mainly from her mother-in-law. Very good candidates looked to the situation after the war when Albert was back and the mother was banned from the farm when Anna's life got better until the couple retired to show that eventually Anna achieved an easier life, but only after years of hard work.
(b) The second question had some interesting answers. Most candidates had a balanced view and took into consideration what was normal in the 20's and 30's - rules were stricter then and most children would have experienced physical punishment of some sort or other. Examples for behaviour out of line were the vicar who hit Anna for bringing the wrong bible to church and the mother-in-law who abused Anna and her child, but most candidates defended the dad and praised the Firmpatin for helping Anna in difficult situations. Overall candidates were of the opinion that morally things were either right or wrong and fairly evenly distributed now and back then.

## Section 2

## Question 4

## Dürrenmatt - Der Besuch der alten Dame

66 essays were written about this text, with the first question proving very popular.
(a) A lot of candidates chose the first question on this text and very good candidates defined what an "Opfer" actually was: the target of actions of other people, even a religious sacrifice - a very good thought for this play. A lot of candidates showed good text knowledge, but delivered mainly a summary of the play without relating it enough to the question, some contradicted themselves in the course of their work. Better essays focused on Ill's mistakes in the past, his decision to flee, his inability to carry this off and his acceptance of the situation. Claire is often seen as the victim at the beginning of the story, then as the evil avenger, who is bitter and twisted, but really good essays contained the role of the Güllen people in this - they are the executing force and have decisions to make - do they leave Alfred room for manoeuvre, have they been acting correctly in the past, is he their victim or responsible for his own fate?
(b) The second question highlighted a weakness: The majority of candidates focused on one aspect, namely "Schuld" only, the guilt of III. Better candidates mentioned the moral downfall and guilt of the citizens of Güllen, particularly when looking at their past decisions (hunting Claire out of town after the verdict reached through the falsification of evidence) and very outstanding candidates wrote about the "Wiedergutmachung" in terms of financially re-establishing Güllen on the economical map through Claire's "donations".

## Question 5

## Wohmann - Treibjagd, Erzählungen

No essays were produced on this text.

## Question 6

## OCH - Das Salz der Erde und das dumme Schaf

Eighteen essays were produced on this text, most about the second question.
(a) Very good candidates gave a range of possibilities what role the voices could stand for: Jana uses them to argue with, they are her control mechanism to evaluate situations when no-one else is there, they tend to annoy her with advice when she does not need it and they tend to be female, so they could be a mother replacement. Other than that they could be girl friends that Jana lacks or simply company when she is desperately lonely. Some candidates thought that Jana is schizophrenic, which is less explicable, as the voices stop later on in the story, so could she be cured and why would she? The theory that they are the side that Jana would like to show, but does not dare to, is also interesting, so the voices would then stop when Jana has developed into a person who is happy in herself and does not need the internal arguments anymore.
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(b) Most candidates had a balanced view about the actions of the granddad: He taught Jana to be happy with very little money, but spent the lottery win on silly things. He had his own opinions and taught Jana to develop her own view on life, but he did not always go about showing his opinions the right way (statue climbing incident and law court episode). Only a few better candidates mentioned the initial positive action of the granddad: He adopted Jana and gave her a home despite the fact that he had to share what little he had with her. A simplistic view was that the granddad was a drunkard and too crazy to look after Jana, but the majority of candidates saw that Jana genuinely loved the granddad and rather wanted to stay with him than in a home. Very good candidates came to a clear conclusion having looked at all aspects of the granddad's behaviour.

