

#### **Cambridge International Examinations**

Cambridge Pre-U Certificate

#### **BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT**

9771/02

Paper 2 Strategic Decisions

May/June 2017

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 100

#### **Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is a registered trademark.

This syllabus is approved for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 3 Pre-U Certificate.



#### Section 1

Question	Answer		Marks	
1(a)	Calculate the Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) as at 27 September 2014.			
	Operating profit / capital employed × 100	(1 mark)		
	= 18 186 / 94 732 – 42 004 = 18 186 / 52 728 (1 mark)			
	Award 1 mark for correct calculation of CE = 52 728			
	= 34.5% (3 marks for correct answer)			
	Allow for rounding variations			
1(b)	Calculate the current ratio as at 27 Septem	ber 2014.	3	
	Current assets / current liabilities	(1 mark)		
	53,193 / 42,004	(1 mark)		
	= 1.27	(3 marks for correct answer)		
	Allow for rounding variations			

© UCLES 2017 Page 2 of 10

Question		Answ	er	Marks
1(c)		nt on the significance to TPT o	lirectors of the result obtained in	6
	Level	Knowledge AO1 2 marks	Application AO2 4 marks	
	2	2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge.	3–4 marks: Candidate clearly explains the usefulness of the results using two examples of distinct case context.	
	1	1 mark: Candidate shows some knowledge.	1–2 marks: Candidate uses limited case context.	
	0	No reward	able response.	
	Applicati	bank deposits. Informs the directors about how business generates profits on (P) may include: 34.5% in 2014 v 30.14% in 2013 Useful profitability benchmark v 20%). TPT has a higher ROCE	tor (KPI) for the directors ith other potential returns such as effective the capital employed in the	
	Knowled •	Ratio: OFR rule to be applied ge (K): may include: Definition Industry specific		
	•	quickly turned into cash sales	is low value is of less significance? (1= low liquidity and 2= high has the most favourable value	

© UCLES 2017 Page 3 of 10

Question	Answer						
1(d)	the futur		likely to increase its o and any other relevar		13		
	Level	Knowledge AO1 2 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 6 marks			
	3		5 marks: Candidate fully engages with the case context and accounts (relevant ratios used)	5–6 marks: Candidate fully develops analytical points.			
	2	2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge of dividends.	3–4 marks: Candidate links case material to his answer with some use of the accounts.	3–4 marks: Developed analysis of arguments.			
	1	1 mark: Candidate offers a basic definition / understanding of dividends	1–2 marks: Candidate makes a limited attempt to apply knowledge to the case study.	1–2 marks: Weak analysis of ideas, failure to develop points.			
	0 No rewardable response.						
	Applicati  • • •	2014 v 4.81 2013 (well Trading conditions are Profitability is improving from £107 023 000, op between 2013 and 201 Improving economic of Dividend yield is 2.1% 1.7% (1.50 / 88 × 100) Directors want to fund possibly less money for	profit for the year / annull covered dividend) positive g: Gross profit increase perating profit and ROC 14 utlook in 2014 (2.25 / 107 × 1 growth through interna	ed to £118 870 000 E also increased 00) in 2013 it was			
	•	Shareholders are likely dividends Depends on strategic	goal being reached could threaten profits a	end growth e directors for improving and therefore dividends			

© UCLES 2017 Page 4 of 10

#### Section 2

Question			Answer	,		Marks
2			ained decrease i ic decisions of 1		ment should	25
	Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks	
	3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.	
	2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.	
	1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.	
	0		No rewardat	ole response.		
	•	•	mployment nition of 'strategio	decisions'		
	•	Average real way Falling unemploy Falling unemploy elasticity demand	ment is a sign of ges are equally in ment should mak ment often leads d figure is positive	nportant se expansion eas to wage growth a e 0.78	ier and the income	
	•	stores opened in unemployment is	ment makes recruitment the last year) and making recruitment to retain good	d the case states ent more difficult	that falling	

© UCLES 2017 Page 5 of 10

Question	Answer	
2	<ul> <li>Analysis (A) may include: <ul> <li>Type of jobs being created and pay levels</li> <li>Regional differences in unemployment</li> <li>Falling unemployment improves consumer confidence and may improve DIY spending on tiles</li> <li>Lower unemployment could lead to higher wage demands increasing costs / prices</li> <li>Higher living standards may prompt a move to more premium tiles and products</li> <li>Price elasticity figures (-1.7 and -2.4 may become more elastic) if incomes fall</li> <li>Lower unemployment could lead to inflation</li> <li>Should the above points impact upon the strategic decisions of TPT</li> </ul> </li> <li>Evaluation (E) may include: <ul> <li>Other factors are also crucial such as the state of the housing market</li> <li>'should' is a key word in the question</li> <li>Sustained decrease? Time period? Types of unemployment?</li> <li>Unemployment is not the only factor to consider</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

© UCLES 2017 Page 6 of 10

Question			Answer			Marks
3	Evaluat	e the use of emp	oloyee profit sha	ring at TPT.		25
	Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks	
	3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.	
	2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.	
	1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.	
	0		No rewardat	le response.	1	]
	•	Monetary v non- ion (P) may include Employees favor Some employee Productivity has factors Is the cost sustat Average bonus i	oloyee profit shari monetary (a mix) de:	se in basic pay due to the profit s ) in 2014, £6 251 17 = £5408.37 (if	000 in 2013 shared equally)	
	Analysis	Could it continue How are profits s Not the only way Are the KPI fair? What is the best Profit sharing ca	notivational theor in a recession shared? Is it fair? of motivating sta	ff ng how profit sha ent and demotiva	ition	

© UCLES 2017 Page 7 of 10

Question	Answer	Marks
လ	Evaluation (E) may include:  • Is profit sharing more effective than the share scheme • Is manager performance appraisal subjective?  ARA	

© UCLES 2017 Page 8 of 10

Question 4	'The primary goal for the business is to take profitable market share' (Lines 10–11).  Recommend and justify a potential strategy for TPT to achieve profitably its target market share.					Marks
						25
	Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks	
	3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.	
	2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.	
	1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.	
	0		No rewardat	ole response.	l	
	•	Application (P) m Target MS is 339 Increased compe businesses)	ket share, profitat	n 2013 (2.7 / 30.3 this aim difficult (	rable 2	
	•	•	c model (Boston, ocus on higher ma ting spend		•	
	Evaluati • • ARA	on (E) may includ Profitable marke Depends on the		c environment		

© UCLES 2017 Page 9 of 10

Question	Answer	
4	A: Providing an inspirational shopping experience     Store refurbishment     Staff expertise	
	B: Product development	
	C: Multi-channel distribution	

© UCLES 2017 Page 10 of 10